REMARKS

This is in response to the Office Action dated July 14, 2003. Claims 1-20 have been canceled. New claims 21-33 have been added. Thus, claims 21-33 are now pending.

New claim 21 is respectfully submitted to define over DeLorme and Pinzon for at least the following reasons.

New claim 20 requires that "the computerized reservation/IT system is arranged to

- automatically generate an electronic key upon receiving from the wireless terminal a reservation and/or check-in request,
- automatically and wirelessly communicate the electronic key to the wireless terminal that originated the reservation and/or check-in request, and
- automatically communicate electronically to the remotely operable door lock information corresponding to the electronic key, and

the remotely operable door lock is arranged to:

- automatically and wirelessly obtain, without the user of the wireless terminal having to press a button, the electronic key from the wireless terminal if the second wireless device and the first wireless device are mutually in-range, and
- automatically actuate the lock device if the key obtained from the wireless terminal corresponds to the information received from the computerized reservation/IT system."

In other words, the invention of claim 21 in the context of controlling a lock or the like in a hotel for example, provides an automatic solution which need not require manual operation of a portable device as an initiative for obtaining electronic key(s) for locking/unlocking a lock. In other words, the invention of claim 21 allows for *hands* free locking and unlocking of a remotely operable door lock, which is highly convenient for a hotel guest or the like approaching a hotel room while carrying luggage.

In contrast, as the Office Action admits, DeLorme is entirely unrelated to door locks in hotels and the like. Recognizing this deficiency in DeLorme, the Office Action cites Pinzon. However, Pinzon discloses a door locking/unlocking system which requires the initiative of a user. In particular, in Pinzon any transfer of a code from the portable wireless device to the receiver comes as a result of the user operating a push-button or keypad of the portable wireless device. This of course is undesirable (i.e., hands free locking/unlocking is not possible).

In contrast, the invention of claim 21 allows the remotely operable door lock to obtain wirelessly the key from the wireless terminal when the second wireless device and the first wireless device are mutually in-range without a user having to press any keys/buttons of the wireless terminal, so that the lock device can be actuated if the key obtained from the wireless terminal corresponds to the information received from the computerized reservation/IT system. Both DeLorme and Pinzon fail to disclose or

suggest this aspect of claim 21. Thus, even if the two references were combined as alleged in the Office Action, the invention of claim 21 still would not be met.

Furthermore, Pinzon at C2, l. 32-35 and C5, l. 39-53, teaches directly away from the solution proposed by claim 21, by disclosing an arrangement wherein the electronics associated with a door lock is furnished with locking/unlocking codes at the location of the door lock directly by way of a user interface, by codes already stored therein, by training, or by downloading from a portable device brought to the location of the lock device. From this, it is clearly understood that to alter the locking/unlocking code to be different from what the suggested periodic change scheme would provide, manual intervention at the place of installation of the lock is required. Pinzon thus discloses a solution which is inefficient and cumbersome with respect to the altering of the code. In contrast, certain example embodiments of this invention provide automatic, immediate, and "on-the-fly" key code generation and transmission to both the remotely operable lock and the wireless terminal. To utilize the code thus entered on-site into the electronics of the door lock according to the disclosure of Pinzon, a hotel would then, after once having performed the on-site programming of the door lock electronics, upon completing the usual guest check-in procedure, provide the guest with a portable wireless phone or similar wireless terminal, instead of a physical key, and thereupon make a call from the switch board of the hotel to the wireless terminal at the time when the guest has placed the wireless phone, according to Pinzon, in a cradle properly arranged in the respective hotel room door, or at the time when the guest carrying the wireless phone equipped with

the short range wireless transmitter, as proposed by Pinzon, is proximal to the door of the hotel room assigned to the guest. As shown above, in no respect does the solution disclosed by Pinzon allow for an automatic key generation and transfer to the remotely operable lock device and to the portable wireless terminal in response to a wireless and/or check-in request originating from that particular portable wireless terminal. Pinzon is entirely unrelated to the invention of claim 21.

Claim 27 requires "- automatically generating in the computerized reservation/IT system an electronic key upon receiving from the wireless terminal a reservation and/or check-in request, automatically and wirelessly communicating a copy of the electronic key from the computerized reservation/IT system to the wireless terminal that originated the reservation and/or check-in request, and automatically and electronically communicating from the computerized reservation/IT system to the remotely operable door lock information corresponding to the electronic key, and automatically and wirelessly obtaining the remotely operable door lock, without the user of the wireless terminal having to press a button, a copy of the electronic key from the wireless terminal if the second wireless device and the first wireless device are mutually in-range, and automatically actuating by the remotely operable door lock the lock device if the copy of the electronic key obtained from the wireless terminal corresponds to the information received from the computerized reservation/IT system." Again, the cited art fails to disclose or suggest these aspects of claim 27.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that all rejections be withdrawn. All claims are in condition for allowance. If any minor matter remains to be resolved, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned with regard to the same.

Respectfully submitted,

NIXON & VANDERHYE R.C.

Bv:

Joseph A. Rhoa Reg. No. 37,515

JAR:caj

1100 North Glebe Road, 8th Floor

Arlington, VA 22201-4714 Telephone: (703) 816-4000 Facsimile: (703) 816-4100